## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-2120/1		Intro	duction Numb	oer A	B-449		
Subject  Management and disposal of septage and municipal sewage sludge									
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	☐ Increase Revenue ☑ Decrease Revenue	s Existing	to abso	se Costs orb within Yes ase Costs	- May be possible agency's budget No		
1 2	ndeterminate  I.	e Costs ive	4. Decrease	∕e∭Mar Revenue	ndatory \bigsize \lambda Tow Cou e \bigsize Sch	ment Unit vns 🏻 🔯 unties 🛣	ts Affected Village Cities Others MSD WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency	//Prepared E	By	Auth	orized S	Signature		Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe			Polasek (	(608) 266-2794		6/9/2005			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 6/9/2005

LRB Number <b>05-2120/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-449	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject						
Management and disposal of septage and municipal sewage sludge						

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### Bill Summary:

This bill proposes a variety of septage disposal changes developed by a joint legislative council special committee. Provisions impacting DNR include modifying the clean water fund program to provide zero percent interest rate loans for projects relating to facilities for receiving, and capacity for treating, septage. It also repeals the current authorization for fees for licenses for septage land disposal sites.

#### Fiscal Impact:

The state would receive reduced revenue of \$1,515,000 from decreasing the interest rate charged through the Clean Water Fund (CWF) loan program to publicly owned wastewater treatment plants (POTW). This would result in a reduction of \$1,515,000 in funding available to fund new loans. Costs to local units of government which receive loans would be reduced by \$1,515,000 since they, as borrowers, would have decreased costs in the form of lower loan payments. More details follow.

The bill seeks to provide incentive for POTWs to plan for and to provide capacity for septage receiving as part of their wastewater treatment process. This is accomplished by reducing the interest rate from 55% of the market rate to 0% for that portion of the planning, construction, and capacity provided for septage generated in the planning area. The reduced interest will also mitigate the impact of receiving less septage than anticipated, recognizing that Commerce Clause laws preclude the mandating of where a homeowner disposes of their septage. The reduced revenue collected by the state would be offset by local units of government which, as borrowers, would have decreased costs in the form of lower loan payments. Approximately 150 million dollars a year are provided for wastewater treatment plant upgrades in the state through the CWF. Assumptions include the following:

- 1. \$150,000,000 per year distributed through Clean Water Fund (CWF) loans to Publicly Owned Wastewater Treatment plants.
- 2. Five percent of CWF loan amounts would potentially be issued at the zero percent interest rate for septage capacity.
- 3. Present Value of the affected interest rate reduction is 20.2% of the loan amount assuming a 20-year loan term.
- 4. Decreased state revenue (and decreased local unit of government cost)  $150,000,000 \times 0.05 \times 0.202 = 1,515,500$  annually.

Since loan repayments are subsequently allocated out as new loans, the \$1,515,500 annual reduction in loan payments means that each year there will be \$1,515,500 less available to fund new loans or that the Department would need to seek \$1,515,500 in new bonding each year in order to maintain the current levels of loans.

There is also a zero fiscal impact from the elimination of a site license fee charged by DNR in this Bill. DNR has never charged for this license because it encourages haulers to have extra sites for contingencies and thus no revenue is lost.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updat	ted Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>05-2120/1</b>	Introduction Numbe	r <b>AB-449</b>				
Subject  Management and disposal of septage a		(de net include in				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):  None	s for State and/or Local Government	(do not melade m				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fring	es \$					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this or revenues (e.g., tax increase, decreas	nly when proposal will increase or d e in license fee, ets.)	ecrease state				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S		-1,515,000				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$-1,515,000				
NET A	NNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	Loca				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$-\$1,515,000				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-1,515,000	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	6/9/2005				